

Organizational Alignment

September 20, 2013

Creating and Implementing Strategic Plans Workshop

Sponsored by the AAMC Group on Institutional Planning

Washington, DC



Speakers

Laura Schweitzer, PhD President Union Graduate College

UNION GRADUATE COLLEGE

Rama Iyengar, MBA, AIA Associate Dean, Planning and Resource Management Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai







Session Overview





The Challenges

- To ensure strategic alignment between the macroenvironment (the University) and the organization (the School)
 - (external) ← Laura's Focus
- To ensure alignment between different areas (Departments, etc.) in the organization (School) (internal) ← Rama's focus
- To make decisions that are aligned to the organization's needs
- To appreciate the pressures that the University experiences and the School experiences and work to mitigate them



The Agenda

- Strategic Plan Alignment: Communication flow and gaining support from all constituents, putting the plan into action
- 20 min Laura: Alignment between schools within the University
- 20 min Rama: Alignment among constituents in the School
- 30 min Q&A





From the President's Perspective

Laura Schweitzer, PhD
President
Union Graduate College

UNION GRADUATE COLLEGE





Alignment

As a land grant university, we must think about our rural mission first and foremost!



I think we should strive to provide care for the inner city's underserved...let's create a series of urban clinics!



The President

The Dean



Alignment – The President's Perspective

Shared Organizational Mission and Vision
Organizational Strategic Plan
Objectives

This is what I want.

ALIGNMENT

School's Mission and Vision School's Strategic Plan Objectives



Alignment – The Dean of Medicine's Perspective

Shared Organizational Mission and Vision Organizational Strategic Plan Objectives

AN EXCEPTION

The School of Medicine School's Strategic Plan Objectives We are special/different. This is what I need.



My Credentials

- I was a dean of medicine during the strategic planning process and its implementation for the University of Louisville.
 - I spent a lot of time, energy, and capital explaining why we were different and special and should be "allowed to vary."
- I am a college president who just completed a five-year strategic plan. I have four schools.





The School of Medicine's Perspective

I spent a lot of time, energy, and capital explaining why we were different and special and should be "allowed to vary."

Q: What are some of the reasons that you would provide?





The School of Medicine's Perspective

I spent a lot of time, energy, and capital explaining why we were different and special and should be "allowed to vary."

What are some of the reasons that I would provide?

- Our faculty salaries are on "soft" money our faculty actually have to produce to earn their salaries.
 - Ancillary argument: Our faculty have to work harder than others.
- We run businesses (clinics, etc.).
- We run businesses subject to industry regulations and pressures unlike others in the university.
- The university benefits financially when we are free to do what we do well.
- We comprise over 50% of the university personnel and over 50% of the university budget.

My Credentials

- I was a dean of medicine during the strategic planning process and its implementation for the University of Louisville.
 - I spent a lot of time, energy, and capital explaining why we were different and special and should be "allowed to vary."
- I am a college president who just completed a five-year strategic plan. I have four schools.
 - All four of my deans argued that they are different and special and should be "allowed to vary."



Alignment – Why Bother?

Why bother to align?

- To help an organization work cohesively
- To coordinate everyone's activities so the organization as a whole can work toward the same goals
- To give employees direction and a vision of what matters most in the organization

There may be potential synergies between units:

- Energy and resources can be focused
- Redundancy might be eliminated
- Conflicting priorities can be reduced
- Decision making may be more efficient





The concerns of a president with multiple schools:

Giving each school the support and latitude it needs to grow, while sustaining forward momentum toward university-wide goals





The concerns of a president with multiple schools:

Aligning resources with your priorities ... this may require reallocation of resources between schools





Questions the president must answer:

Do I support the strongest school because perhaps that is where my resources will leverage the most?

Or do I place extra support into the weaker schools because they need the most help?

What framework will I use to make resource allocation decisions?





Beyond resource reallocation, I believe that my role as president is to drive the alignment of the university on a day-to-day basis.

- Be a role model for others in terms of focus on the strategic priorities.
- Reinforce the strategy and its link to school's, then department's, then employee's goals.





Building the Team

As president, I needed to build a strategic planning team of functional leaders, with representation from all schools, to be involved throughout the planning process. They will be the ones who best understand the strategy and can serve as ambassadors to drive alignment during the execution stage.

As the president, here is what I look for in institutional leaders who will compose the team and help design, spearhead, and execute strategic plans:





Team Member Competencies Needed

- Members have a big picture perspective (beyond their school) and emotional intelligence to view information from different perspectives and points of view.
- They have the ability to identify key stakeholders in their schools.
- They have political and climate sensitivity.
- They can integrate and assimilate information and understand the relationships between the schools.
- They have critical thinking skills and talent to troubleshoot and predict.
- They have insight and can identify common elements or trends in situations and actions across the university.



Questions the dean must answer:

Does central administration conceptualize that the unit-based strategic plans will be rolled up into a university-wide plan (bottom-up)? If so, how do I make our plans attractive so that they are supported?

Or conversely: Does central administration conceptualize that the university's strategic plan will be executed through the units (top down)? If so how is the unit represented in the planning process; are we getting what we need?

EITHER APPROACH REQUIRES TWO-WAY COMMUNICATION





Steps to Alignment: Top-down

- 1. Goals are set for the university; desired measurable outcomes are set for the university.
- 2. The applicable goals are set for each school, with the appropriate proportion of achievement (rolled up would then be the desired university outcome).
- 3. Strategic actions are concisely articulated for each school with input by the dean.
- 4. Within each school, tactics are described, projects are designed, and leaders are tasked.





Steps to Alignment: Bottom-up

- 1. Strategic planning takes place in the School with some guidance from the university about university-wide goals.
- Strategic actions are concisely articulated for the school with input from central administration.
- 3. Within each school tactics are described, projects are designed, and leaders are tasked.





Steps to Alignment

Bottom-up / Top-down

- 1. What is the experience of the audience with alignment?
- 2. What worked and what did not?





From the Planner's Perspective

Rama Iyengar, MBA, AIA
Associate Dean, Planning and Resource
Management
Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai





Organizational Alignment?

Strategic Plans Where are we going?

Implementation —— How do we get there?

DEPLOYMENT of right people in the right roles

ALLOCATION of sufficient resources

All parts of the organization aligned

Communications plan critical



Organizational Alignment in Three Words:

Communication

Communication

Communication





Robust Communication Plan

1st step in implementation:

- A person dedicated to communicating with each group is needed.
- Institutional leaders MUST be seen to be engaged in the process.
- Leadership MUST be included within each group to reinforce message.
- Messages MUST be tailored to each group of stakeholders.

Who?	How?	When	What?	Responsibility?
	Town Hall/			Lead person
Dept Heads/	Print/			assigned for
Faculty/	Website/		Message	each group -
Staff/Students/	Social Media/	Dates &	tailored to	MUST include
External	Press Release	Frequency	each group	leaders

Adapted from the University of Wisconsin www.quality.wisc.edu





Deployment?

Successful implementation requires coordinated: Initiatives

- each new initiative reviewed against strategic plan

Budgets

both capital and operating budgets reviewed

Performance

performance measures tied to strategic goals

Structure

current organizational structure reviewed

Monitoring

- regular reviews and course correction





Deployment – Mobilizing the Troops

How engaged is the senior leadership in this phase?

Have there been meetings with each department leader and line manager?

Is there a communications plan for every level of the organization?

Are there resources dedicated to concentrate implementation?

Have there been "deployment workshops" to identify roles?

Is there a plan to ensure that each department's efforts are aligned?

Have the metrics been defined and a monitoring plan developed?



Implementation Teams for each area

Define purpose of each team & leadership role

Set goals for each team

Define deliverables for each goal

List all steps needed to achieve each goal

Assign tasks with a deadline to each person

Consolidate/coordinate all the action items

Adapted from : www.sergaygroup.com/Smart-Talk





Alignment Requires Discipline

New projects scrutinized by senior team.

Presentation for each new project includes:

- Sponsor (the person accountable for the project's success)
- Strategic initiatives addressed by new project
- Specific aims of the project
- Timeline for implementation
- Indicators of success
- Budget/Business Plan

Leadership assigns:

- Capital investment score
- Priority



Alignment of Institutional Resources

Impact of new initiative on:

Human Resources

- -Recruitment
- -Credentialing

Information Technology

Finance

Research/Clinical/Educational Space

- -Renovation
- -Infrastructure
- -Housing

Research Resources

-Grants Office/IRB/IACUC/Vivarium/Cores, etc.

Do these departments need additional staff/resources/space/\$s?



Monitoring – Evaluate & Update Plan

Success requires that the Strategic Plan considered this cycle:





Monitoring – Course Correction

How do changes in the external or internal environment affect the plan?

How is successful execution being measured?

Is there a dashboard to support decision making?

Are there regular meetings with line managers to assess performance?

Is there a periodic review of the plan to review against expectations?

Is success recognized and celebrated?









Learn Serve

Lead

Association of American Medical Colleges